

# Evaluating the diagnostic power of liquid biopsy in precision Oncology: A narrative review of progress, limitations, and perspectives.

Sara Sayed Ahmed Osman<sup>1\*</sup>, Dr shaifalika thakur<sup>2</sup>

*Third-year medical student at Zagazig University Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig, Egypt<sup>1</sup>*

*Senior Resident, Paediatrics, Heart Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India<sup>2</sup>*

## ABSTRACT

In our world today, cancer is one of the most significant challenges to global health, with high morbidity rates. The emergence of liquid biopsy has fundamentally redefined the landscape of precision oncology, transitioning from a theoretical blood-based alternative to an essential clinical tool in precision oncology. where its utility offered a minimally invasive technique in cancer analysis through tumor markers. Liquid biopsy is a minimally invasive procedure used in cancer analysis to detect specific tumor biomarkers, specifically tracing the path of these biomarkers from benchtop discovery to their current status as FDA-cleared companion diagnostics.

The primary objective of the review is to provide a comprehensive synthesis of the technological, clinical, and regulatory evolution of liquid biopsy over the past quarter century, and to synthesize recent evidence regarding its role in early cancer detection and therapy response prediction. This review emphasizes the transformative impact of ctDNA in detecting minimal residual disease (MRD) and monitoring real-time clonal evolution, which has enabled clinicians to pivot therapies before radiographic progression.

However, to transition this technology from a secondary monitoring tool to a primary screening tool, future research must prioritize the standardization of the pre-analytical protocols and multiple trials so that by bridging the gap between high-tech research and global accessibility, liquid biopsy will have the potential to democratize precision medicine and significantly improve survival outcomes on a global scale.

**Keywords:** *Liquid biopsy, circulating tumor DNA, circulating tumor cells, cancer diagnostics, cancer, breast cancer, precision oncology.*

**Submitted:** February 11, 2026 **Accepted:** February 21, 2026 **Published:** March 14, 2026

**Corresponding author:** Sara Sayed Ahmed Osman

**Email:** [sarasayed12341234@gmail.com](mailto:sarasayed12341234@gmail.com)

*Third-year medical student at Zagazig University Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig, Egypt.*

## INTRODUCTION

Cancer remains a major global health challenge, accounting for nearly 10 million deaths in 2020 — about one in six deaths worldwide. [1] With millions of new cancer cases diagnosed each year, early detection and timely treatment can make a significant difference in saving lives and improving outcomes.[2]

Conventional diagnostic methods such as imaging (CT, PET-CT, MRI) and tissue biopsies remain essential for cancer detection, staging, and monitoring [3,4]. Tissue biopsies provide histopathologic confirmation and enable detailed molecular characterization, but they are invasive, often risky, and sometimes unfeasible due to anatomical constraints or poor patient condition [5]. Imaging modalities, while useful for assessing disease burden, are limited by radiation exposure, restricted sensitivity in detecting

minimal residual disease, and inability to capture tumor molecular evolution [6]

The implementation of precision oncology highlighted the need for less invasive approaches that can detect tumor heterogeneity and dynamic changes over time. This paved the way for liquid biopsy, a minimally invasive technique analyzing tumor-derived material such as circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA), circulating tumor cells (CTCs), and exosomes in body fluids [7].

One notable approach is liquid biopsy, a minimally invasive and easily repeatable test that involves the cytological and molecular analysis of cancer markers released by tumors into body fluids. Blood is the most commonly used source for liquid biopsy, as it offers a wide range of biological analytes — including circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA), circulating tumor cells (CTCs), tumor-educated platelets (TEPs), circulating exosomes, DNA methylation patterns, as

**Review article**

well as metabolomic and proteomic markers. Liquid biopsy analyzes circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) in blood plasma, has been reported to be less invasive and effective for comprehensive genetic analysis of heterogeneous solid tumors, offering a promising alternative to traditional tissue biopsy. By capturing tumor-derived genetic alterations shed into the bloodstream, liquid biopsy shows high concordance with histologic analysis for detecting EGFR mutations in NSCLC, providing an alternative technology for individualized treatment and monitoring. [14,15].

**Main Components & Examples:** Circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA), Circulating tumor cells (CTCs), Tumor-educated platelets (TEPs), Exosomes, DNA methylation markers, Proteomic and metabolomic markers. These components provide valuable insights into tumor genetics, progression, and response to treatment.

This review aims to evaluate how liquid biopsy addresses the limitation of tissue sampling, its efficacy in monitoring, its limitations, and its future prospects in clinical oncology. This review identifies the following key questions :

- How does a liquid biopsy reveal targetable genes?
- Clinical evidence.
- Prognostic values in identifying minimal residual disease.
- Implementation and limitations.

**METHODS**

**Research selection:** A targeted literature search was conducted to identify relevant studies for this review. The selection process was based on the following criteria:

**Databases:** PubMed and Google Scholar.

**Search Terms:** 'liquid biopsy', 'ctDNA', 'circulating tumor cells', 'exosomes', and 'precision oncology'.

**Years Considered:** 2004 to 2025.

**Language:** Only English-language publications were included.

**Study Types:** Systematic reviews, meta-analyses, Peer-reviewed articles, clinical trials, and reports on FDA-approved assays.

**Selection Criteria:** Articles were chosen based on their clinical relevance to tumor genetics and disease monitoring.

**DISCUSSION****1. Current applications**

To date, the most established role of liquid biopsy application in the clinic is in guiding treatment selection by identifying targetable variants within a patient's tumor.

Circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA) testing has proven to be an effective alternative for tumor genomic profiling, especially when tissue samples are unavailable." [16]

The landmark FDA approval of the cobas® EGFR v2 test for detecting EGFR mutations in plasma cell-free DNA (cfDNA) from patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) paved the way for the approval of several other ctDNA-based companion diagnostic tests, including Guardant360 CDx and FoundationOne Liquid CDx. [17,18,19]

"In addition, strong evidence supports the use of ctDNA to identify resistance mechanisms to targeted therapies in solid tumors. [20,21,22,23,24,25].

For example, liquid biopsy shows high sensitivity in detecting estrogen receptor 1 (ESR1) mutations in metastatic estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. These mutations are strongly associated with endocrine resistance, and patients harboring them may benefit from treatment with elacestrant. [26,27].

Longitudinal ctDNA can be used to guide treatment decisions in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer by capturing tumor heterogeneity and resistance to targeted agents. Recent evidence supports ctDNA-based strategies for selecting patients eligible for anti-EGFR rechallenge. [28,29]

Circulating tumor cells (CTCs) are cancer cells that circulate in the bloodstream, representing the metastatic process of cancer and serving as important analytes in liquid biopsies.[30]

Since the landmark 2004 publication by Cristofanilli and colleagues, which demonstrated the prognostic significance of CTC detection in metastatic breast cancer [31]

Multiple studies in breast, prostate, and colorectal cancers have shown that CTC detection is linked to poorer prognosis. [32,33,34].

Consequently, the Cell Search platform, which enumerates CTCs in the peripheral blood of cancer patients through EpCAM-based capture and fluorescent labeling [62], has received FDA approval for clinical use in metastatic breast, colorectal, and prostate cancers. At present, only blood-based CTC and ctDNA assays are approved for routine clinical application, whereas other liquid biopsy assays remain investigational and should be limited to research settings.[35]

Patients with locally advanced rectal cancer (LARC) are currently managed with a trimodality approach consisting of neoadjuvant chemoradiation therapy (nCRT), surgery with total mesorectal excision, and adjuvant chemotherapy when indicated. [36,37]

The cost-effectiveness of liquid biopsies (LBs) has been demonstrated in guiding treatment selection for lung cancer patients, as well as in the screening and early detection of colorectal, gastric, breast, brain, and several other cancers.

Tissue biopsy remains the gold standard for pathological diagnosis, tissue genotyping, and treatment planning in

NSCLC [38,39,40]. However, this approach is limited by its inability to capture the clonal heterogeneity of the disease [40]. Moreover, the growing number of treatment-guiding biomarkers often requires multiple biopsies and repeated sampling to guide subsequent therapies, which is frequently unfeasible or poses risks due to the anatomical location of primary or metastatic lesions, or because of the patient's declining overall condition [61].

### 1. How liquid biopsy reveals targetable and actionable genes

#### What liquid biopsy measures and how it detects genomic alterations

Liquid biopsy specimens contain circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA), circulating tumor cells, extracellular vesicles, and cell-free RNA; among these, ctDNA is the most extensively studied analyte for clinical applications because it carries tumor-derived genetic material that can be evaluated for genomic alterations [46]. ctDNA assays can detect a broad spectrum of genomic changes, including single-nucleotide variants, insertions/deletions, copy-number alterations, and gene fusions, and emerging platforms can also assess DNA methylation signatures [45]. Detection technologies include droplet digital PCR for focused testing of known variants and next-generation sequencing approaches — targeted, whole exome, or whole genome for broad molecular profiling [45,46].

#### How liquid biopsy identifies targetable and actionable genes

Actionable alterations are defined as those that can be matched to an approved targeted therapy or a clinical trial option. ctDNA analysis can identify actionable oncogenic drivers, including EGFR mutations, ALK and ROS1 rearrangements, BRAF V600E, MET exon 14 alterations, PIK3CA mutations, HER2 amplifications, RET and NTRK fusions, and KRAS G12C [45]. Detection of such alterations in plasma can guide the selection of targeted therapy when tissue is inadequate or unobtainable [45]. Comprehensive ctDNA analysis has detected guideline-recommended biomarkers with high concordance to tissue genotyping [47], and plasma-based genotyping has also identified additional actionable alterations not captured by tissue genotyping alone, thereby expanding the number of patients eligible for targeted therapies [48]

#### How does that information guide therapy selection (treatment):

- Initial matching to targeted therapy

Comprehensive ctDNA analysis detected all guideline-recommended biomarkers with high concordance to tissue genotyping and was clinically useful for identifying patients eligible for targeted therapy [47]. In addition, plasma genotyping for EGFR sensitizing mutations was associated

with outcomes to first-line EGFR-TKIs that were similar to those based on tissue genotyping. Plasma genotyping identified patients with EGFR T790M who benefited from osimertinib [49].

- Finding resistance mechanisms

An acquired EGFR C797S mutation mediates resistance to AZD9291 in NSCLC harboring EGFR T790M. The study further showed that ctDNA analysis identified C797S mutations... demonstrating the potential of plasma genotyping to detect emergent resistance mechanisms [50].

- Broad matchmaking/trial enrollment

Plasma-based genotyping identified additional actionable alterations not captured by tissue genotyping alone, thereby expanding the number of patients eligible for targeted therapies.[48]

Similarly, molecular profiling of advanced solid tumors improved the assignment of patients to genotype-matched clinical trials and was associated with improved outcomes in those who received matched treatment.[51]

### 2. The role in Monitoring Treatment Response and Detecting Relapse

Liquid biopsy enables real-time assessment of tumor response to therapy. Following treatment initiation, ctDNA levels typically decline if the tumor is regressing, whereas an increase in ctDNA may signal treatment resistance or disease progression earlier than conventional imaging [41]. In breast cancer, ctDNA analysis has demonstrated the ability to detect minimal residual disease (MRD) months before clinical relapse becomes apparent on imaging, providing an opportunity to adjust therapy proactively [42]. Similarly, in colorectal and lung cancers, serial ctDNA testing facilitates the early identification of emerging resistance mutations, enabling timely modifications to treatment strategies [43,44].

Thus, liquid biopsy serves as a powerful tool for long-term monitoring and early relapse detection across multiple cancer types.

### 3. Prognostic value and treatment monitoring

#### 5.1) Tumor burden and dynamics

The amount of ctDNA correlates with tumor burden, and a decrease in ctDNA levels during treatment correlates with response, whereas an increase predicts resistance and disease progression; moreover, changes in ctDNA levels can anticipate radiographic response or progression.[52]

#### 5.2) Minimal residual disease (MRD) and recurrence prediction

Detection of ctDNA after surgery was strongly associated with risk of recurrence, and patients with detectable ctDNA after adjuvant chemotherapy had significantly shorter

relapse-free survival compared with those without detectable ctDNA. Furthermore, ctDNA detection preceded radiologic recurrence by a median of 8.7 months.[53]

#### 4. Evidence that ctDNA-guided decisions affect outcomes.

Molecular profiling of advanced solid tumors improved the assignment of patients to genotype-matched clinical trials, and patients who received matched treatment had improved outcomes compared with those who did not receive matched therapy [51].

Nevertheless, the clinical utility of cfDNA testing depends on assay sensitivity and specificity, tumor type, and the clonal status of detected alterations [47].

#### 5. Limitations and interpretive caveats

Low tumor fraction / false negatives: A negative plasma result does not exclude the presence of a mutation because some tumors shed little or no ctDNA into the circulation.[46] Clonal hematopoiesis (CHIP) and non-tumor sources: The majority of variants detected in cfDNA from patients without detectable tumors were derived from clonal hematopoiesis. Common CHIP-associated genes included DNMT3A, TET2, and JAK2.[54]

Assay variability and coverage: Different ctDNA assays vary in gene coverage, analytic sensitivity, and their ability to detect copy-number alterations or gene fusions.[45]

Biological heterogeneity: Plasma genotyping reflects the mixture of tumor-derived variants shed from multiple metastatic sites [5]; therefore, distinguishing driver mutations from subclonal or CHIP-related events requires orthogonal confirmation and careful interpretation.[54]

#### 6. Practical clinical workflow:

1. Plasma ctDNA analysis can be considered at the time of diagnosis when tumor tissue is unavailable or when biopsy is medically contraindicated, or when results are delayed [46].

2. If an actionable mutation is detected in plasma, this result can be used to guide therapy, with tissue confirmation pursued when required for regulatory or companion-diagnostic purposes [46].

3. If the plasma test is negative, tumor tissue genotyping should be performed whenever feasible.[46]

4. For follow-up, serial ctDNA analysis may be useful for monitoring treatment and minimal residual disease, and ctDNA detection preceded radiologic recurrence by a median of 8.7 months [53].

#### 7. Prognostic Value:

Liquid biopsy also provides important prognostic insights, offering indications of cancer aggressiveness. In breast

cancer, elevated ctDNA levels have been shown to correlate with poorer overall survival [41], while higher counts of CTCs consistently predict progression-free and overall survival [35]. Additionally, specific mutations detected in ctDNA, such as TP53, have been linked to relapse and may reflect a more aggressive tumor phenotype [42].

Comparable observations have been made in prostate and colorectal cancers, where higher ctDNA burden correlates with tumor stage, recurrence risk, and reduced survival [55,56]. Therefore, beyond its role in diagnosis and treatment guidance, liquid biopsy can aid in patient risk stratification and inform the intensity of follow-up care.

#### 8. Liquid Biopsy and Reduced Need for PET-CT / Re-biopsies

Liquid biopsy offers an opportunity to decrease reliance on repeated tissue biopsies and imaging procedures by providing molecular insights through a simple blood draw. For instance, in metastatic colorectal cancer, ctDNA analysis has detected recurrence several months before conventional imaging modalities [8]. Similarly, in lung cancer, ctDNA-based minimal residual disease (MRD) testing has demonstrated high specificity (up to 87%) for relapse prediction after definitive therapy [9]. Tissue tumor profiles are subject to sampling bias, limited in capturing tumor heterogeneity, and cannot be obtained repeatedly; by contrast, ctDNA-based liquid biopsy allows repeated, less invasive sampling, enabling monitoring of treatment response and detection of emerging resistance mutations over time [10]. By complementing, and in some cases replacing, conventional imaging and biopsy procedures, liquid biopsy has the potential to reduce patient burden and healthcare costs while improving early detection of relapse.

#### 9. Limitations: Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV, NPV, and False Negatives:

Despite its advantages, liquid biopsy has important limitations. The sensitivity of ctDNA analysis is reliant on biological and technical factors; the abundance of tumor cells, reflected by tumor stage or overall tumor burden, can dictate sensitivity, and lower-stage tumors have lower numbers of ctDNA fragments.[11]. Specificity, although generally high in CfDNA assays, can be compromised by non-tumor sources of cfDNA, such as clonal hematopoiesis [12]. The clinical utility of liquid biopsy also depends on predictive values. In lung cancer, it's reported that 'the specificity of ctDNA MRD in predicting recurrence is high (0.86–0.95) with moderate sensitivity (0.41–0.76), whether shortly after treatment or during the surveillance,' noting that this moderate sensitivity highlights the challenge of false-negative results in ctDNA-negative patients. [9] Also, it's found that the majority of variants detected in cfDNA

were derived from clonal hematopoiesis (CHIP) rather than tumor, and emphasized that 'these CHIP-derived variants represent a major source of false-positive results in cfDNA analyses, showing how non-tumor sources can compromise specificity and positive predictive value. [11].

False negatives are a critical concern. False-negative results in ctDNA testing may arise from low levels of circulating tumor DNA, technical detection limits of current assays, pre-analytical issues such as sample handling or degradation, and tumor heterogeneity not captured by the specific assay used [13]. As they emphasize, 'a single biopsy can provide only a snapshot of the molecular profile of a heterogeneous tumor, and may therefore miss clinically relevant subclones,' while ctDNA has the advantage of capturing DNA shed from multiple tumor sites, potentially offering a more comprehensive view of heterogeneity. Nevertheless, 'some tumor subclones may not shed sufficient DNA into the circulation to be detected, resulting in false-negative findings [13]. Clinically, this limitation is illustrated by [9], who reported that landmark MRD detection in non-small cell lung cancer achieved a pooled specificity of 0.95 but a sensitivity of only 0.32, underscoring the need for methodological improvements and cautious interpretation of negative results in practice.[9].

## 10. Implementation and Cost Considerations

Although liquid biopsy shows great promise, its clinical adoption faces major barriers. Lack of assay standardization and variability in test sensitivity hinder reproducibility [46]. High costs of NGS-based assays restrict access, especially in low- and middle-income countries [59]. Even in high-income settings, insurance coverage and reimbursement remain inconsistent, creating disparities [60]. Moreover, regulatory validation and quality-control frameworks are still evolving, delaying widespread implementation [7]. Overcoming these issues is critical for translating liquid biopsy into routine oncology practice.

## 11. Future Perspectives

Liquid biopsy is advancing rapidly with the development of highly sensitive technologies such as digital PCR and next-generation sequencing (NGS) [11]. Future approaches that integrate multiple biomarkers—ctDNA, CTCs, exosomes, and microRNAs—have the potential to enhance early detection sensitivity and provide a more comprehensive understanding of tumor biology [57].

Incorporating liquid biopsy into routine screening, particularly for high-risk populations, could allow earlier diagnosis, more personalized treatment, and improved survival outcomes [58]. Ongoing clinical trials are also evaluating its role in detecting minimal residual disease

(MRD), which may transform how cancer remission is monitored [56]. Nonetheless, further progress in standardization, cost reduction, and validation through large-scale clinical studies is essential before liquid biopsy can replace traditional tissue biopsy [46].

## Summary

Liquid biopsy represents a rapidly evolving approach in oncology, offering a non-invasive window into tumor biology through analysis of circulating biomarkers such as ctDNA and CTCs. It has proven its value for monitoring treatment response, detecting relapse earlier than imaging, and providing prognostic insights by linking biomarker burden and specific mutations to survival outcomes. Beyond guiding therapy decisions, liquid biopsy supports risk stratification and individualized follow-up strategies across multiple cancer types.

With advances in sensitive technologies and multi-marker integration, its potential extends to early detection and minimal residual disease assessment, opening new frontiers in precision medicine. While challenges remain in standardization, validation, and accessibility, liquid biopsy is steadily shaping the future of cancer diagnosis, management, and long-term surveillance.

## Acknowledgement:

The author used ChatGPT to assist in drafting portions of the text. All AI-generated sentences were cross-referenced with primary research sources, manually verified for accuracy, and edited by the author, who is fully responsible for the final content.

## List of abbreviations:

ctDNA: Circulating Tumor DNA

CTCs: Circulating Tumor Cells

TEPs: Tumor-educated platelets

ALK: Anaplastic Lymphoma Kinase

C797S: A resistance mutation at the 797th position of the EGFR protein

cfDNA: Cell-free DNA

CHIP: Clonal Hematopoiesis of Indeterminate Potential

DNMT3A: DNA Methyltransferase 3 Alpha

EGFR: Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor

EGFR-TKIs: Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor-Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors

EPCAM: Epithelial Cell Adhesion Molecule

ESR1: Estrogen Receptor 1

FDA: Food and Drug Administration

HER2: Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2

JAK2: Janus Kinase 2

KRAS: Kirsten Rat Sarcoma Virus

LARC: Locally Advanced Rectal Cancer

MRD: Minimal Residual Disease

**Review article**

nCRT: Neoadjuvant Chemoradiation Therapy  
NGS: Next-Generation Sequencing  
NPV: Negative Predictive Value  
NSCLC: Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer  
PCR: Polymerase Chain Reaction  
PET-CT: Positron Emission Tomography-Computed Tomography  
PIK3CA: Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-Bisphosphate 3-Kinase Catalytic Subunit Alpha  
PPV: Positive Predictive Value  
ROS1: c-ros Oncogene 1  
T790M: A specific resistance mutation in the EGFR gene  
TET2: Tet Methylcytosine Dioxygenase 2  
TP53: Tumor Protein P53

**Author contribution:**

Sara Sayed Ahmed (First author): Conceptualization, Data Curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing Original Draft, Review & editing.  
Shaifalika Thakur (second author): Conceptualization, Supervision, Validation, Review & Editing.

**Source of funding:**

This study doesn't have any source of funding of any type.

**Conflict of interest:**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Data availability:**

Data sharing is not applicable to this article, as no new datasets were created or analyzed. All data analyzed during this review are available within the article and its cited references.

**Author biography:**

**Sara Sayed** is a third-year medical student at Zagazig University Faculty of Medicine, Egypt.

**Shaifalika Thakur** is a Senior Resident of Pediatrics at Heart Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India.

**References**

- [1] Ferlay J, Ervik M, Lam F, Laversanne M, Colombet M, Mery L, Piñeros M, Znaor A, Soerjomataram I, Bray F (2024). *Global Cancer Observatory: Cancer Today* (version 1.1). Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer. Available from: <https://gco.iarc.who.int/today>, accessed [11 February 2026].
- [2] The global challenge of cancer. *Nat Cancer*. 2020 Jan;1(1):1-2. doi: 10.1038/s43018-019-0023-9. PMID: 35121840. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43018-019-0023-9>

- [3] Lindeman NI, Cagle PT, Beasley MB, Chitale DA, Dacic S, Giaccone G, Jenkins RB, Kwiatkowski DJ, Saldivar JS, Squire J, Thunnissen E, Ladanyi M. Molecular testing guideline for selection of lung cancer patients for EGFR and ALK tyrosine kinase inhibitors: guideline from the College of American Pathologists, International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer, and Association for Molecular Pathology. *J Thorac Oncol*. 2013 Jul;8(7):823-59. doi: 10.1097/JTO.0b013e318290868f. Erratum in: *J Thorac Oncol*. 2013 Oct;8(10):1343. PMID: 23552377; PMCID: PMC4159960. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1097/jto.0b013e318290868f>
- [4] Czernin J, Allen-Auerbach M, Nathanson D, Herrmann K. PET/CT in Oncology: Current Status and Perspectives. *Curr Radiol Rep*. 2013 May 3;1(3):177-190. doi: 10.1007/s40134-013-0016-x. PMID: 24883234; PMCID: PMC4034170. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40134-013-0016-x>
- [5] Overman MJ, Modak J, Kopetz S, Murthy R, Yao JC, Hicks ME, Abbruzzese JL, Tam AL. Use of research biopsies in clinical trials: are risks and benefits adequately discussed? *J Clin Oncol*. 2013 Jan 1;31(1):17-22. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2012.43.1718. Epub 2012 Nov 5. PMID: 23129736; PMCID: PMC5545102. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.2012.43.1718>
- [6] Wahl RL, Jacene H, Kasamon Y, Lodge MA. From RECIST to PERCIST: Evolving Considerations for PET response criteria in solid tumors. *J Nucl Med*. 2009 May;50 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):122S-50S. doi: 10.2967/jnumed.108.057307. PMID: 19403881; PMCID: PMC2755245. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.108.057307>
- [7] Heitzer E, Haque IS, Roberts CES, Speicher MR. Current and future perspectives of liquid biopsies in genomics-driven oncology. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2019 Feb;20(2):71-88. doi: 10.1038/s41576-018-0071-5. PMID: 30410101. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-018-0071-5>
- [8] Reinert T, Henriksen TV, Christensen E, Sharma S, Salari R, Sethi H, Knudsen M, Nordentoft I, Wu HT, Tin AS, Heilskov Rasmussen M, Vang S, Shchegrova S, Frydendahl Boll Johansen A, Srinivasan R, Assaf Z, Balcioglu M, Olson A, Dashner S, Hafez D, Navarro S, Goel S, Rabinowitz M, Billings P, Sigurjonsson S, Dyrskjot L, Swenerton R, Aleshin A, Laurberg S, Husted Madsen A, Kannerup AS, Stribolt K, Palmelund Krag S, Iversen LH, Gotschalck Sunesen K, Lin CJ, Zimmermann BG, Lindbjerg Andersen C. Analysis of Plasma Cell-Free DNA by Ultradeep Sequencing in Patients With Stages I to III Colorectal Cancer. *JAMA Oncol*. 2019 Aug 1;5(8):1124-1131. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2019.0528. Erratum in: *JAMA Oncol*. 2019 Aug 1;5(8):1232. doi:

## Review article

- 10.1001/jamaoncol.2019.2162. PMID: 31070691; PMCID: PMC6512280.  
<https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2019.0528>
- [9] Zhong R, Gao R, Fu W, Li C, Huo Z, Gao Y, Lu Y, Li F, Ge F, Tu H, You Z, He J, Liang W. Accuracy of minimal residual disease detection by circulating tumor DNA profiling in lung cancer: a meta-analysis. *BMC Med.* 2023 May 12;21(1):180. doi: 10.1186/s12916-023-02849-z. PMID: 37173789; PMCID: PMC10176776.  
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-023-02849-z>
- [10] Siravegna G, Marsoni S, Siena S, Bardelli A. Integrating liquid biopsies into the management of cancer. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* 2017 Sep;14(9):531-548. doi: 10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.14. Epub 2017 Mar 2. PMID: 28252003.  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.14>
- [11] Wan JCM, Massie C, Garcia-Corbacho J, Mouliere F, Brenton JD, Caldas C, Pacey S, Baird R, Rosenfeld N. Liquid biopsies come of age: towards implementation of circulating tumour DNA. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2017 Apr;17(4):223-238. doi: 10.1038/nrc.2017.7. Epub 2017 Feb 24. PMID: 28233803.  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc.2017.7>
- [12] Razavi P, Li BT, Brown DN, Jung B, Hubbell E, Shen R, Abida W, Juluru K, De Bruijn I, Hou C, Venn O, Lim R, Anand A, Maddala T, Gnerre S, Vijaya Satya R, Liu Q, Shen L, Eattock N, Yue J, Blocker AW, Lee M, Sehnert A, Xu H, Hall MP, Santiago-Zayas A, Novotny WF, Isbell JM, Rusch VW, Plitas G, Heerdt AS, Ladanyi M, Hyman DM, Jones DR, Morrow M, Riely GJ, Scher HI, Rudin CM, Robson ME, Diaz LA Jr, Solit DB, Aravanis AM, Reis-Filho JS. High-intensity sequencing reveals the sources of plasma circulating cell-free DNA variants. *Nat Med.* 2019 Dec;25(12):1928-1937. doi: 10.1038/s41591-019-0652-7. Epub 2019 Nov 25. PMID: 31768066; PMCID: PMC7061455.  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-019-0652-7>
- [13] Diaz LA Jr, Bardelli A. Liquid biopsies: genotyping circulating tumor DNA. *J Clin Oncol.* 2014 Feb 20;32(6):579-86. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2012.45.2011. Epub 2014 Jan 21. PMID: 24449238; PMCID: PMC4820760.  
<https://ascopubs.org/doi/10.1200/JCO.2012.45.2011>
- [14] Ohmura H, Hanamura F, Okumura Y, Ando Y, Masuda T, Mimori K, Akashi K, Baba E. Liquid biopsy for breast cancer and other solid tumors: a review of recent advances. *Breast Cancer.* 2025 Jan;32(1):33-42. doi: 10.1007/s12282-024-01556-8. Epub 2024 Mar 16. PMID: 38492205.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12282-024-01556-8>
- [15] Cai J, Wang W, Zhang W. A meta-analysis of liquid biopsy versus tumor histology for detecting EGFR mutations in non-small cell lung cancer. *Transl Oncol.* 2024 Sep;47:102022. doi: 10.1016/j.tranon.2024.102022. Epub 2024 Jul 2. PMID: 38959710; PMCID: PMC11269823.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranon.2024.102022>
- [16] Pascual J, Attard G, Bidard FC, Curigliano G, De Mattos-Arruda L, Diehn M, Italiano A, Lindberg J, Merker JD, Montagut C, Normanno N, Pantel K, Pentheroudakis G, Popat S, Reis-Filho JS, Tie J, Seoane J, Tarazona N, Yoshino T, Turner NC. ESMO recommendations on the use of circulating tumour DNA assays for patients with cancer: a report from the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group. *Ann Oncol.* 2022 Aug;33(8):750-768. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2022.05.520. Epub 2022 Jul 6. PMID: 35809752. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2022.05.520>
- [17] André F, Ciruelos E, Rubovszky G, Campone M, Loibl S, Rugo HS, Iwata H, Conte P, Mayer IA, Kaufman B, Yamashita T, Lu YS, Inoue K, Takahashi M, Pápai Z, Longin AS, Mills D, Wilke C, Hirawat S, Juric D; SOLAR-1 Study Group. Alpelisib for *PIK3CA*-Mutated, Hormone Receptor-Positive Advanced Breast Cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2019 May 16;380(20):1929-1940. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1813904. PMID: 31091374.  
<https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa1813904>
- [18] Vellanki PJ, Ghosh S, Pathak A, Fusco MJ, Bloomquist EW, Tang S, Singh H, Philip R, Pazdur R, Beaver JA. Regulatory implications of ctDNA in immuno-oncology for solid tumors. *J Immunother Cancer.* 2023 Feb;11(2):e005344. doi: 10.1136/jitc-2022-005344. PMID: 36796877; PMCID: PMC9936292.  
<https://doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2022-005344>
- [19] U.S. Food and Drug Administration. *List of Cleared or Approved Companion Diagnostic Devices (In Vitro and Imaging Tools)* [Internet]. Silver Spring (MD): FDA; 2024 [cited 2026 Feb 11]. Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/in-vitro-diagnostics/list-cleared-or-approved-companion-diagnostic-devices-in-vitro-and-imaging-tools>
- [20] Chabon JJ, Simmons AD, Lovejoy AF, Esfahani MS, Newman AM, Haringsma HJ, Kurtz DM, Stehr H, Scherer F, Karlovich CA, Harding TC, Durkin KA, Otterson GA, Purcell WT, Camidge DR, Goldman JW, Sequist LV, Piotrowska Z, Wakelee HA, Neal JW, Alizadeh AA, Diehn M. Circulating tumour DNA profiling reveals heterogeneity of EGFR inhibitor resistance mechanisms in lung cancer patients. *Nat Commun.* 2016 Jun 10;7:11815. doi: 10.1038/ncomms11815. Erratum in: *Nat Commun.* 2016 Nov 14;7:13513. doi: 10.1038/ncomms13513. PMID: 27283993; PMCID: PMC4906406.  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11815>
- [21] Dagogo-Jack I, Brannon AR, Ferris LA, Campbell CD, Lin JJ, Schultz KR, Ackil J, Stevens S, Dardaai L, Yoda S, Hubbeling H, Digumarthy SR, Riester M, Hata AN, Sequist LV, Lennes IT, Iafrate AJ, Heist RS, Azzoli CG, Farago AF, Engelman JA, Lennerz JK, Benes CH, Leary RJ, Shaw AT,

## Review article

- Gainor JF. Tracking the Evolution of Resistance to ALK Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors through Longitudinal Analysis of Circulating Tumor DNA. *JCO Precis Oncol.* 2018;2018:PO.17.00160. doi: 10.1200/PO.17.00160. Epub 2018 Jan 23. PMID: 29376144; PMCID: PMC5785105. <https://doi.org/10.1200/po.17.00160>
- [22] Dagogo-Jack I, Rooney M, Nagy RJ, Lin JJ, Chin E, Ferris LA, Ackil J, Lennerz JK, Lanman RB, Gainor JF, Shaw AT. Molecular Analysis of Plasma From Patients With ROS1-Positive NSCLC. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2019 May;14(5):816-824. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2019.01.009. Epub 2019 Jan 18. PMID: 30664990; PMCID: PMC6486857. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtho.2019.01.009>
- [23] Recondo G, Bahcall M, Spurr LF, Che J, Ricciuti B, Leonardi GC, Lo YC, Li YY, Lamberti G, Nguyen T, Milan MSD, Venkatraman D, Umeton R, Paweletz CP, Albayrak A, Cherniack AD, Price KS, Fairclough SR, Nishino M, Sholl LM, Oxnard GR, Jänne PA, Awad MM. Molecular Mechanisms of Acquired Resistance to MET Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors in Patients with MET Exon 14-Mutant NSCLC. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2020 Jun 1;26(11):2615-2625. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-3608. Epub 2020 Feb 7. PMID: 32034073. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.ccr-19-3608>
- [24] Dagogo-Jack I, Rooney M, Lin JJ, Nagy RJ, Yeap BY, Hubbeling H, Chin E, Ackil J, Farago AF, Hata AN, Lennerz JK, Gainor JF, Lanman RB, Shaw AT. Treatment with Next-Generation ALK Inhibitors Fuels Plasma ALK Mutation Diversity. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2019 Nov 15;25(22):6662-6670. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-1436. Epub 2019 Jul 29. PMID: 31358542; PMCID: PMC6858956. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.ccr-19-1436>
- [25] Oxnard GR, Thress KS, Alden RS, Lawrance R, Paweletz CP, Cantarini M, Yang JC, Barrett JC, Jänne PA. Association Between Plasma Genotyping and Outcomes of Treatment With Osimertinib (AZD9291) in Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2016 Oct 1;34(28):3375-82. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2016.66.7162. Epub 2016 Jun 27. PMID: 27354477; PMCID: PMC5035123. <https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.2016.66.7162>
- [26] Bidard FC, Kaklamani VG, Neven P, Streich G, Montero AJ, Forget F, Mouret-Reynier MA, Sohn JH, Taylor D, Harnden KK, Khong H, Kocsis J, Dalenc F, Dillon PM, Babu S, Waters S, Deleu I, García Sáenz JA, Bria E, Cazzaniga M, Lu J, Aftimos P, Cortés J, Liu S, Tonini G, Laurent D, Habboubi N, Conlan MG, Bardia A. Elacestrant (oral selective estrogen receptor degrader) Versus Standard Endocrine Therapy for Estrogen Receptor-Positive, Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2-Negative Advanced Breast Cancer: Results From the Randomized Phase III EMERALD Trial. *J Clin Oncol.* 2022 Oct 1;40(28):3246-3256. doi: 10.1200/JCO.22.00338. Epub 2022 May 18. Erratum in: *J Clin Oncol.* 2023 Aug 10;41(23):3962. doi: 10.1200/JCO.23.01239. PMID: 35584336; PMCID: PMC9553388. <https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.22.00338>
- [27] Burstein HJ, DeMichele A, Somerfield MR, Henry NL; Biomarker Testing and Endocrine and Targeted Therapy in Metastatic Breast Cancer Expert Panels. Testing for *ESR1* Mutations to Guide Therapy for Hormone Receptor-Positive, Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2-Negative Metastatic Breast Cancer: ASCO Guideline Rapid Recommendation Update. *J Clin Oncol.* 2023 Jun 20;41(18):3423-3425. doi: 10.1200/JCO.23.00638. Epub 2023 May 17. PMID: 37196213. <https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.23.00638>
- [28] Ciardiello D, Martinelli E, Troiani T, Mauri G, Rossini D, Martini G, Napolitano S, Famiglietti V, Del Tufo S, Masi G, Santini D, Avallone A, Pietrantonio F, Lonardi S, Di Maio M, Zampino MG, Fazio N, Bardelli A, Siena S, Cremolini C, Sartore-Bianchi A, Ciardiello F. Anti-EGFR Rechallenge in Patients With Refractory ctDNA RAS/BRAF wt Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: A Nonrandomized Controlled Trial. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2024 Apr 1;7(4):e245635. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.5635. Erratum in: *JAMA Netw Open.* 2024 May 1;7(5):e2418437. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.18437. PMID: 38592721; PMCID: PMC11004834. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.5635>
- [29] Napolitano S, De Falco V, Martini G, Ciardiello D, Martinelli E, Della Corte CM, Esposito L, Famiglietti V, Di Liello A, Avallone A, Cardone C, De Stefano A, Montesarchio V, Zampino MG, Bordonaro R, Scartozzi M, Santini D, Di Maio M, De Vita F, Altucci L, Marrone F, Ciardiello F, Troiani T. Panitumumab Plus Trifluridine-Tipiracil as Anti-Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Rechallenge Therapy for Refractory RAS Wild-Type Metastatic Colorectal Cancer: A Phase 2 Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Oncol.* 2023 Jul 1;9(7):966-970. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2023.0655. Erratum in: *JAMA Oncol.* 2024 Apr 1;10(4):541. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2024.0068. PMID: 37200022; PMCID: PMC10196928. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2023.0655>
- [30] Zhang YW, Gvozdenovic A, Aceto N. A Molecular Voyage: Multiomics Insights into Circulating Tumor Cells. *Cancer Discov.* 2024 Jun 3;14(6):920-933. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-24-0218. PMID: 38581442. <https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.cd-24-0218>
- [31] Cristofanilli M, Budd GT, Ellis MJ, Stopeck A, Matera J, Miller MC, Reuben JM, Doyle GV, Allard WJ, Terstappen LW, Hayes DF. Circulating tumor cells, disease progression, and survival in metastatic breast cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2004 Aug 19;351(8):781-91. doi:

## Review article

- 10.1056/NEJMoa040766. PMID: 15317891. <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa040766>
- [32] de Bono JS, Scher HI, Montgomery RB, Parker C, Miller MC, Tissing H, Doyle GV, Terstappen LW, Pienta KJ, Raghavan D. Circulating tumor cells predict survival benefit from treatment in metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2008 Oct 1;14(19):6302-9. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-08-0872. Erratum in: *Clin Cancer Res*. 2009 Feb 15;15(4):1506. PMID: 18829513. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.ccr-08-0872>
- [33] Cohen SJ, Punt CJ, Iannotti N, Saidman BH, Sabbath KD, Gabrail NY, Picus J, Morse M, Mitchell E, Miller MC, Doyle GV, Tissing H, Terstappen LW, Meropol NJ. Relationship of circulating tumor cells to tumor response, progression-free survival, and overall survival in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2008 Jul 1;26(19):3213-21. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2007.15.8923. Erratum in: *J Clin Oncol*. 2009 Apr 10;27(11):1923. PMID: 18591556. <https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.2007.15.8923>
- [34] Bidard FC, Peeters DJ, Fehm T, Nolé F, Gisbert-Criado R, Mavroudis D, Grisanti S, Generali D, Garcia-Saenz JA, Stebbing J, Caldas C, Gazzaniga P, Manso L, Zamarchi R, de Lascoiti AF, De Mattos-Arruda L, Ignatiadis M, Lebofsky R, van Laere SJ, Meier-Stiegen F, Sandri MT, Vidal-Martinez J, Politaki E, Consoli F, Bottini A, Diaz-Rubio E, Krell J, Dawson SJ, Raimondi C, Rutten A, Janni W, Munzone E, Carañana V, Agelaki S, Almici C, Dirix L, Solomayer EF, Zorzino L, Johannes H, Reis-Filho JS, Pantel K, Pierga JY, Michiels S. Clinical validity of circulating tumour cells in patients with metastatic breast cancer: a pooled analysis of individual patient data. *Lancet Oncol*. 2014 Apr;15(4):406-14. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(14)70069-5. Epub 2014 Mar 11. PMID: 24636208. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1470-2045\(14\)70069-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1470-2045(14)70069-5)
- [35] Hayes DF, Cristofanilli M, Budd GT, Ellis MJ, Stopeck A, Miller MC, Matera J, Allard WJ, Doyle GV, Terstappen LW. Circulating tumor cells at each follow-up time point during therapy of metastatic breast cancer patients predict progression-free and overall survival. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2006 Jul 15;12(14 Pt 1):4218-24. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-05-2821. PMID: 16857794. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.ccr-05-2821>
- [36] Bosset JF, Collette L, Calais G, Mineur L, Maingon P, Radošević-Jelić L, Daban A, Bardet E, Beny A, Ollier JC; EORTC Radiotherapy Group Trial 22921. Chemotherapy with preoperative radiotherapy in rectal cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2006 Sep 14;355(11):1114-23. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa060829. Erratum in: *N Engl J Med*. 2007 Aug 16;357(7):728. PMID: 16971718. <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa060829>
- [37] Sauer R, Becker H, Hohenberger W, Rödel C, Wittekind C, Fietkau R, Martus P, Tschmelitsch J, Hager E, Hess CF, Karstens JH, Liersch T, Schmidberger H, Raab R; German Rectal Cancer Study Group. Preoperative versus postoperative chemoradiotherapy for rectal cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2004 Oct 21;351(17):1731-40. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa040694. PMID: 15496622. <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa040694>
- [38] Planchard D, Popat S, Kerr K, Novello S, Smit EF, Faivre-Finn C, Mok TS, Reck M, Van Schil PE, Hellmann MD, Peters S; ESMO Guidelines Committee. Metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol*. 2018 Oct 1;29(Suppl 4):iv192-iv237. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdy275. Erratum in: *Ann Oncol*. 2019 May;30(5):863-870. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdy474. PMID: 30285222. <https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdy275>
- [39] Postmus PE, Kerr KM, Oudkerk M, Senan S, Waller DA, Vansteenkiste J, Escrú C, Peters S; ESMO Guidelines Committee. Early and locally advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC): ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol*. 2017 Jul 1;28(suppl 4):iv1-iv21. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdx222. PMID: 28881918. <https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdx222>
- [40] Pascual J, Attard G, Bidard FC, Curigliano G, De Mattos-Arruda L, Diehn M, Italiano A, Lindberg J, Merker JD, Montagut C, Normanno N, Pantel K, Pentheroudakis G, Popat S, Reis-Filho JS, Tie J, Seoane J, Tarazona N, Yoshino T, Turner NC. ESMO recommendations on the use of circulating tumour DNA assays for patients with cancer: a report from the ESMO Precision Medicine Working Group. *Ann Oncol*. 2022 Aug;33(8):750-768. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2022.05.520. Epub 2022 Jul 6. PMID: 35809752. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annonc.2022.05.520>
- [41] Dawson SJ, Tsui DW, Murtaza M, Biggs H, Rueda OM, Chin SF, Dunning MJ, Gale D, Forsheo T, Mahler-Araujo B, Rajan S, Humphray S, Becq J, Halsall D, Wallis M, Bentley D, Caldas C, Rosenfeld N. Analysis of circulating tumor DNA to monitor metastatic breast cancer. *N Engl J Med*. 2013 Mar 28;368(13):1199-209. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1213261. Epub 2013 Mar 13. PMID: 23484797. <https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa1213261>
- [42] Garcia-Murillas I, Schiavon G, Weigelt B, Ng C, Hrebien S, Cutts RJ, Cheang M, Osin P, Nerurkar A, Kozarewa I, Garrido JA, Dowsett M, Reis-Filho JS, Smith IE, Turner NC. Mutation tracking in circulating tumor DNA predicts relapse in early breast cancer. *Sci Transl Med*. 2015 Aug 26;7(302):302ra133. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aab0021. PMID: 26311728. <https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aab0021>

- [43] Siravegna G, Mussolin B, Buscarino M, Corti G, Cassingena A, Crisafulli G, Ponzetti A, Cremolini C, Amatu A, Lauricella C, Lamba S, Hobor S, Avallone A, Valtorta E, Rospo G, Medico E, Motta V, Antoniotti C, Tatangelo F, Bellosillo B, Veronese S, Budillon A, Montagut C, Racca P, Marsoni S, Falcone A, Corcoran RB, Di Nicolantonio F, Loupakis F, Siena S, Sartore-Bianchi A, Bardelli A. Clonal evolution and resistance to EGFR blockade in the blood of colorectal cancer patients. *Nat Med.* 2015 Jul;21(7):795-801. doi: 10.1038/nm.3870. Epub 2015 Jun 1. Erratum in: *Nat Med.* 2015 Jul;21(7):doi:10.1038/nm0715-827b. Erratum in: *Nat Med.* 2015 Jul;21(7):827. doi: 10.1038/nm0715-827b. PMID: 26030179; PMCID: PMC4868598. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3870>
- [44] Oxnard GR, Paweletz CP, Kuang Y, Mach SL, O'Connell A, Messineo MM, Luke JJ, Butaney M, Kirschmeier P, Jackman DM, Jänne PA. Noninvasive detection of response and resistance in EGFR-mutant lung cancer using quantitative next-generation genotyping of cell-free plasma DNA. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2014 Mar 15;20(6):1698-1705. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-2482. Epub 2014 Jan 15. PMID: 24429876; PMCID: PMC3959249. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.ccr-13-2482>
- [45] Rolfo C, Mack P, Scagliotti GV, Aggarwal C, Arcila ME, Barlesi F, Bivona T, Diehn M, Dive C, Dziadziuszko R, Leigh N, Malapelle U, Mok T, Peled N, Raez LE, Sequist L, Sholl L, Swanton C, Abbosh C, Tan D, Wakelee H, Wistuba I, Bunn R, Freeman-Daily J, Wynes M, Belani C, Mitsudomi T, Gandara D. Liquid Biopsy for Advanced NSCLC: A Consensus Statement From the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer. *J Thorac Oncol.* 2021 Oct;16(10):1647-1662. doi: 10.1016/j.jtho.2021.06.017. Epub 2021 Jul 8. PMID: 34246791. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtho.2021.06.017>
- [46] Merker JD, Oxnard GR, Compton C, Diehn M, Hurley P, Lazar AJ, Lindeman N, Lockwood CM, Rai AJ, Schilsky RL, Tsimberidou AM, Vasalos P, Billman BL, Oliver TK, Bruinooge SS, Hayes DF, Turner NC. Circulating Tumor DNA Analysis in Patients With Cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology and College of American Pathologists Joint Review. *J Clin Oncol.* 2018 Jun 1;36(16):1631-1641. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2017.76.8671. Epub 2018 Mar 5. PMID: 29504847. <https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.2017.76.8671>
- [47] Leigh NB, Page RD, Raymond VM, Daniel DB, Divers SG, Reckamp KL, Villalona-Calero MA, Dix D, Odegaard JI, Lanman RB, Papadimitrakopoulou VA. Clinical Utility of Comprehensive Cell-free DNA Analysis to Identify Genomic Biomarkers in Patients with Newly Diagnosed Metastatic Non-small Cell Lung Cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2019 Aug 1;25(15):4691-4700. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-0624. Epub 2019 Apr 15. PMID: 30988079. <https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.ccr-19-0624>
- [48] Aggarwal C, Thompson JC, Black TA, Katz SI, Fan R, Yee SS, Chien AL, Evans TL, Bauml JM, Alley EW, Ciunci CA, Berman AT, Cohen RB, Lieberman DB, Majmundar KS, Savitch SL, Morrisette JJD, Hwang WT, Elenitoba-Johnson KSJ, Langer CJ, Carpenter EL. Clinical Implications of Plasma-Based Genotyping With the Delivery of Personalized Therapy in Metastatic Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. *JAMA Oncol.* 2019 Feb 1;5(2):173-180. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2018.4305. PMID: 30325992; PMCID: PMC6396811. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2018.4305>
- [49] Oxnard GR, Thress KS, Alden RS, Lawrance R, Paweletz CP, Cantarini M, Yang JC, Barrett JC, Jänne PA. Association Between Plasma Genotyping and Outcomes of Treatment With Osimertinib (AZD9291) in Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer. *J Clin Oncol.* 2016 Oct 1;34(28):3375-82. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2016.66.7162. Epub 2016 Jun 27. PMID: 27354477; PMCID: PMC5035123. <https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.2016.66.7162>
- [50] Thress KS, Paweletz CP, Felip E, Cho BC, Stetson D, Dougherty B, Lai Z, Markovets A, Vivancos A, Kuang Y, Ercan D, Matthews SE, Cantarini M, Barrett JC, Jänne PA, Oxnard GR. Acquired EGFR C797S mutation mediates resistance to AZD9291 in non-small cell lung cancer harboring EGFR T790M. *Nat Med.* 2015 Jun;21(6):560-2. doi: 10.1038/nm.3854. Epub 2015 May 4. PMID: 25939061; PMCID: PMC4771182. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3854>
- [51] Stockley TL, Oza AM, Berman HK, Leigh NB, Knox JJ, Shepherd FA, Chen EX, Krzyzanowska MK, Dhani N, Joshua AM, Tsao MS, Serra S, Clarke B, Roehrl MH, Zhang T, Sukhai MA, Califaretti N, Trinkaus M, Shaw P, van der Kwast T, Wang L, Virtanen C, Kim RH, Razak AR, Hansen AR, Yu C, Pugh TJ, Kamel-Reid S, Siu LL, Bedard PL. Molecular profiling of advanced solid tumors and patient outcomes with genotype-matched clinical trials: the Princess Margaret IMPACT/COMPACT trial. *Genome Med.* 2016 Oct 25;8(1):109. doi: 10.1186/s13073-016-0364-2. PMID: 27782854; PMCID: PMC5078968. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-016-0364-2>
- [52] Cabel L, Proudhon C, Romano E, Girard N, Lantz O, Stern MH, Pierga JY, Bidard FC. Clinical potential of circulating tumour DNA in patients receiving anticancer immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* 2018 Oct;15(10):639-650. doi: 10.1038/s41571-018-0074-3. PMID: 30050094. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-018-0074-3>
- [53] Reinert T, Henriksen TV, Christensen E, Sharma S, Salari R, Sethi H, Knudsen M, Nordentoft I, Wu HT, Tin AS, Heilskov Rasmussen M, Vang S, Shchegrova S, Frydendahl Boll Johansen A, Srinivasan R, Assaf Z,

## Review article

Balcioglu M, Olson A, Dashner S, Hafez D, Navarro S, Goel S, Rabinowitz M, Billings P, Sigurjonsson S, Dyrskjot L, Swenerton R, Aleshin A, Laurberg S, Husted Madsen A, Kannerup AS, Stribolt K, Palmelund Krag S, Iversen LH, Gotschalck Sunesen K, Lin CJ, Zimmermann BG, Lindbjerg Andersen C. Analysis of Plasma Cell-Free DNA by Ultradeep Sequencing in Patients With Stages I to III Colorectal Cancer. *JAMA Oncol.* 2019 Aug 1;5(8):1124-1131. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2019.0528. Erratum in: *JAMA Oncol.* 2019 Aug 1;5(8):1232. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2019.2162. PMID: 31070691; PMCID: PMC6512280.

<https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2019.0528>

[54] Razavi P, Li BT, Brown DN, Jung B, Hubbell E, Shen R, Abida W, Juluru K, De Buijn I, Hou C, Venn O, Lim R, Anand A, Maddala T, Gnerre S, Vijaya Satya R, Liu Q, Shen L, Eattock N, Yue J, Blocker AW, Lee M, Sehnert A, Xu H, Hall MP, Santiago-Zayas A, Novotny WF, Isbell JM, Rusch VW, Plitas G, Heerdt AS, Ladanyi M, Hyman DM, Jones DR, Morrow M, Riely GJ, Scher HI, Rudin CM, Robson ME, Diaz LA Jr, Solit DB, Aravanis AM, Reis-Filho JS. High-intensity sequencing reveals the sources of plasma circulating cell-free DNA variants. *Nat Med.* 2019 Dec;25(12):1928-1937. doi: 10.1038/s41591-019-0652-7. Epub 2019 Nov 25. PMID: 31768066; PMCID: PMC7061455.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-019-0652-7>

[55] Annala M, Vandekerhove G, Khalaf D, Taavitsainen S, Beja K, Warner EW, Sunderland K, Kollmannsberger C, Eigl BJ, Finch D, Oja CD, Vergidis J, Zulfiqar M, Azad AA, Nykter M, Gleave ME, Wyatt AW, Chi KN. Circulating Tumor DNA Genomics Correlate with Resistance to Abiraterone and Enzalutamide in Prostate Cancer. *Cancer Discov.* 2018 Apr;8(4):444-457. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-17-0937. Epub 2018 Jan 24. PMID: 29367197.

<https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.cd-17-0937>

[56] Tie J, Wang Y, Tomasetti C, Li L, Springer S, Kinde I, Silliman N, Tacey M, Wong HL, Christie M, Kosmider S, Skinner I, Wong R, Steel M, Tran B, Desai J, Jones I, Haydon A, Hayes T, Price TJ, Strausberg RL, Diaz LA Jr, Papadopoulos N, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B, Gibbs P. Circulating tumor DNA analysis detects minimal residual disease and predicts recurrence in patients with stage II colon cancer. *Sci Transl Med.* 2016 Jul 6;8(346):346ra92. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf6219. PMID: 27384348; PMCID: PMC5346159.

<https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf6219>

[57] Alix-Panabières C, Pantel K. Clinical Applications of Circulating Tumor Cells and Circulating Tumor DNA as Liquid Biopsy. *Cancer Discov.* 2016 May;6(5):479-91. doi: 10.1158/2159-8290.CD-15-1483. Epub 2016 Mar 11.

PMID: 26969689. <https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.cd-15-1483>

[58] Cohen JD, Li L, Wang Y, Thoburn C, Afsari B, Danilova L, Douville C, Javed AA, Wong F, Mattox A, Hruban RH, Wolfgang CL, Goggins MG, Dal Molin M, Wang TL, Roden R, Klein AP, Ptak J, Dobbyn L, Schaefer J, Silliman N, Popoli M, Vogelstein JT, Browne JD, Schoen RE, Brand RE, Tie J, Gibbs P, Wong HL, Mansfield AS, Jen J, Hanash SM, Falconi M, Allen PJ, Zhou S, Betgeowda C, Diaz LA Jr, Tomasetti C, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B, Lennon AM, Papadopoulos N. Detection and localization of surgically resectable cancers with a multi-analyte blood test. *Science.* 2018 Feb 23;359(6378):926-930. doi: 10.1126/science.aar3247. Epub 2018 Jan 18. PMID: 29348365; PMCID: PMC6080308.

<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar3247>

[59] Wan JCM, Massie C, Garcia-Corbacho J, Mouliere F, Brenton JD, Caldas C, Pacey S, Baird R, Rosenfeld N. Liquid biopsies come of age: towards implementation of circulating tumour DNA. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2017 Apr;17(4):223-238. doi: 10.1038/nrc.2017.7. Epub 2017 Feb 24. PMID: 28233803.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc.2017.7>

[60] Ignatiadis M, Sledge GW, Jeffrey SS. Liquid biopsy enters the clinic - implementation issues and future challenges. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol.* 2021 May;18(5):297-312. doi: 10.1038/s41571-020-00457-x. Epub 2021 Jan 20. PMID: 33473219.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-020-00457-x>

[61] Redig AJ, Costa DB, Taibi M, Boucher D, Johnson BE, Jänne PA, Jackman DM. Prospective Study of Repeated Biopsy Feasibility and Acquired Resistance at Disease Progression in Patients With Advanced EGFR Mutant Lung Cancer Treated With Erlotinib in a Phase 2 Trial. *JAMA Oncol.* 2016 Sep 1;2(9):1240-2. doi: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2016.1304. PMID: 27387964.

<https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoncol.2016.1304>

[62] Hayes DF, Cristofanilli M, Budd GT, Ellis MJ, Stopeck A, Miller MC, Matera J, Allard WJ, Doyle GV, Terstappen LW. Circulating tumor cells at each follow-up time point during therapy of metastatic breast cancer patients predict progression-free and overall survival. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2006 Jul 15;12(14 Pt 1):4218-24. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-05-2821. PMID: 16857794.

<https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.ccr-05-2821>



Student's Journal of Health Research Africa

e-ISSN: 2709-9997, p-ISSN: 3006-1059

Vol.7 No. 3 (2026): March 2026 Issue

<https://doi.org/10.51168/sjhrafrica.v7i3.2451>

Review article

#### Publisher Details

### **Student's Journal of Health Research (SJHR)**

(ISSN 2709-9997) Online

(ISSN 3006-1059) Print

Category: Non-Governmental & Non-profit Organization

Email: [studentsjournal2020@gmail.com](mailto:studentsjournal2020@gmail.com)

WhatsApp: +256 775 434 261

Location: Scholar's Summit Nakigalala, P. O. Box 701432,

Entebbe Uganda, East Africa

