



## Impact of retrograde intrarenal surgery, miniaturized percutaneous nephrolithotomy, and standard percutaneous nephrolithotomy on renal damage biomarkers- A cross-sectional study.

Page | 1

R. Sachin Raj<sup>1</sup>, Suvit Jumde<sup>2\*</sup>

Assistant Professor, Department of Urology, Sri Venkateshwara Medical College Hospital & Research Centre -  
Puducherry, India<sup>1</sup>

Assistant Professor, Department of Urology, Himalayan Institute of Medical Sciences, Dehradun, India<sup>2</sup>

### Abstract

#### Background

A frequent urological illness that has a significant socioeconomic burden and a high recurrence rate is nephrolithiasis. With endourological operations being the standard of care, choosing the right treatment is crucial. Patients with nephrolithiasis can find relief from these big stones by undergoing Percutaneous Nephrolithotomy (PCNL), a well-established, minimally invasive surgical treatment.

Objectives- The purpose of the study was to assess how biomarkers of renal injury were affected by standard PCNL, miniature PCNL, and retrograde intrarenal surgery.

#### Materials and methods

It was a cross-sectional study. The study was carried out in the Department of Urology, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Research Institute, Puducherry, India. The study was conducted for one and a half years, that is, from October 2022 to April 2024. In all, 40 patients were enrolled. Participants have to be patients who had undergone PCNL for renal calculus at Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Research Institute in Puducherry between 2022 and 2024.

#### Results

29 (72.5%) of participants were male, while the other 11 (27.5%) of the participants were female in the study. There were 22 stones on the left side (55%) compared to 18 on the right (45%). Thirty-three (82.5%) patients had sterile urine cultures, while seven (17.5%) had positive urine cultures. Serum Creatinine was found to be  $1.14 \pm 0.42$  mg/dL. Renal parenchymal thickness of the operated kidney was found to be  $16.07 \pm 1.04$  mm, while that of the opposite kidney was  $16.07 \pm 0.95$  mm.

#### Conclusion

Nephrolithiasis is a complex illness that is impacted by several metabolic and clinical risk factors.

#### Recommendations

To validate these results, larger trials with longer follow-up are required. It is recommended to include more sensitive kidney biomarkers and standardize surgical factors.

**Keywords:** Nephrolithiasis, Biomarkers, Renal function, Percutaneous nephrolithotomy, Retrograde intrarenal surgery

**Submitted:** 2025-04-20 **Accepted:** 2025-06-10 **Published:** 2025-06-30

**Corresponding author:** Suvit Jumde\*

**Email:** [jumdesuvit@gmail.com](mailto:jumdesuvit@gmail.com)

Assistant Professor, Department of Urology, Himalayan Institute of Medical Sciences, Dehradun, India



## Introduction

A frequent urological illness that has a significant socioeconomic burden and a high recurrence rate is nephrolithiasis. With endourological operations being the standard of care, choosing the right treatment is crucial [1, 2]. Along with percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL) and retrograde intrarenal surgery (RIRS), "miniaturization" techniques—the most common of which is the mini-PCNL—have been implemented as a result of ongoing technological advancements, including better and more advanced lithotripters and lasers [3].

Patients with nephrolithiasis can find relief from these big stones by undergoing PCNL, a well-established, minimally invasive surgical treatment [3]. Numerous studies conducted in the last few years have assessed the prognostic significance of acute kidney injury (AKI) and documented the relevance of novel biomarkers in its diagnosis in various demographics and settings, such as patients in the postoperative phase and those in intensive care units [4].

Serum and urine biomarkers of AKI that are frequently employed include N-acetyl- $\beta$ -d-glucosaminidase (NAG), kidney injury molecule-1 (KIM-1), cystatin C, neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL), and interleukin-18 (IL-18). A notable rise in KIM-1 normalized for urinary Cr (KIM-1/Cr) levels and, consequently, NAG/Cr and NGAL/Cr ratios has been seen 24 hours after PCNL in patients who had the procedure for renal stones larger than 2 cm [5].

The combination of several biomarkers at various postoperative time points has been shown to increase diagnostic accuracy, even though there is currently no one ideal biomarker [6]. The perfusion to the renal artery is shown by the renal resistive index (RI). A healthy kidney's renal morphology is indicated by renal parenchymal thickness and renal parenchymal echogenicity. Sonography is used to assess the renal resistive index and renal parenchymal thickness. We can determine the condition of the renal unit with the aid of a straightforward, affordable, and non-invasive test. Increased vascular resistance and possible impairment in renal perfusion, which can result in renal impairment, are indicated by higher Resistive Index (RI) values in kidneys that have scarring [7].

The purpose of the study was to assess how biomarkers of renal injury were affected by standard PCNL, miniature PCNL, and retrograde intrarenal surgery.

## Methodology

### Study design

It was a cross-sectional study.

### Study setting

The study took place at the Department of Urology, Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Research Institute, Puducherry, India. The study was conducted for one and a half years, that is, from October 2022 to April 2024.

### Study population

In all, 40 patients were enrolled. Participants have to be patients who had undergone PCNL for renal calculus at Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Research Institute in Puducherry between 2022 and 2024. Individuals who had received PCNL for a single kidney, lost follow-up, or had undergone any operation in the contralateral kidney were excluded.

### Efforts to reduce bias

To minimize bias, the study used strict inclusion/exclusion criteria, standardized surgical protocols, and objective biomarkers. Outcome assessors were blinded to the type of surgery, and relevant clinical variables were documented to control for confounders. Ethical approval and informed consent further ensured transparency and reduced selection bias.

### Data collection

Every patient got a routine physical examination and had a thorough medical history taken. Routine investigations, vascular Doppler, and ultrasound (USG) will be carried out. The patient's preferred language of written consent was used.

### Sample size

Based on previous regional studies and available literature, the estimated prevalence of PCNL was assumed to be approximately 15%. With a 95% confidence level and an absolute precision (margin of error) of 5%, the minimum



required sample size was calculated to be 40 participants using the formula:

$$n = \frac{d^2 Z^2 p(1-p)}{e^2}$$

Where:

n = required sample size

Z = 1.96 (standard normal deviate at 95% confidence level)

p = estimated prevalence (0.15)

d = margin of error (0.05)

### Statistical analysis

Microsoft Excel and SPSS version 24.0 were used to assemble and analyze the study's data. Continuous variables were shown as mean standard deviation (SD), whereas categorical variables were shown as percentages or the number of participants (n).

### Ethical clearance

Ethical approval has been obtained by the Institutional Ethics Committee (IEC), Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Research Institute, Puducherry, India, under letter number MGMCRI/Res/01/2023/4/IHEC/10, dated 16 February 2023.

### Informed consent

Written informed consent was obtained from all participants before their enrolment in the study.

### Results

Out of 65 patients initially assessed, 5 were excluded due to incomplete records. Of the 60 screened, 8 were excluded for reasons such as single kidney, prior contralateral surgery, or refusal to participate. Forty-four patients were confirmed eligible and consented. Before analysis, 4 were excluded due to loss to follow-up, withdrawal of consent, or incomplete data. Thus, 40 patients were included in the final analysis. 29 (72.5%) of participants were male, while the other 11 (27.5%) of the participants were female in the study. There were 22 stones on the left side (55%) compared to 18 on the right (45%). Thirty-three (82.5%) patients had sterile urine cultures, while seven (17.5%) had positive urine cultures. The study participants' baseline demographics are shown in Table 1

**Table 1. Baseline demographics of study participants**

Parameters	Number of Participants	Percentages
Age (in years)		
< 30	06	15.0
31–45	16	40.0
46–60	13	32.5
> 60	05	12.5
Sex		
Male	29	72.5
Female	11	27.5
Laterality of Stone		
Left	22	55
Right	18	45
Urine Culture		
Sterile	33	82.5
Positive	07	17.5



Table 2 depicts the postoperative renal functional and morphological outcomes following standard PCNL. Serum Creatinine was found to be  $1.14 \pm 0.42$  mg/dL. Renal

parenchymal thickness of the operated kidney was found to be  $16.07 \pm 1.04$  mm, while that of the opposite kidney was  $16.07 \pm 0.95$  mm.

**Table 2. Postoperative renal functional and morphological outcomes following standard PCNL**

Parameter	Mean $\pm$ SD
Serum Creatinine (mg/dL)	$1.14 \pm 0.42$
RI of Operated Kidney	$0.625 \pm 0.042$
RI of Opposite Kidney	$0.613 \pm 0.039$
Renal Parenchymal Thickness of the Operated Kidney	$16.07 \pm 1.04$ mm
Renal Parenchymal Thickness of Opposite Kidney	$16.07 \pm 0.95$ mm

## Discussion

The majority of research that looked into how PCNL affected renal function concluded that it was a short-term, safe procedure with few immediate negative consequences on renal function. For example, no discernible long-term decline in renal function following PCNL was discovered by Kumar et al. in 2011 [8]. There is, however, little research on long-term impacts, particularly on renal morphology. Zhang et al.'s 2016 study, which evaluated long-term effects using color Doppler ultrasonography, found changes in renal shape but no appreciable changes in renal function [9]. According to these results, scarring and increased vascular resistance may develop over time, as evidenced by raised resistivity indices, even though renal function may stay stable.

In radiology and urology, the kidney's Resistive Index is a useful tool that helps diagnose and treat a variety of renal diseases by offering information on renal vascular resistance. Although there are many clinical advantages, interpreting it requires careful consideration of individual, physiological, and technical aspects. Healthcare practitioners can improve the precision of renal evaluations by combining RI measurements with thorough clinical evaluations.

The majority of instances (40%) in the current study occurred in the age range of 31–45 years, with 32.5% occurring in the 46–60 years range. There is no age-related variation in renal morphology or renal arterial resistive index following PCNL.

In line with the majority of urological literature, 72.5% of cases in the current study were male. According to a study, men are more likely than women to develop renal calculi, which could be caused by anatomical abnormalities,

nutritional disparities, or lifestyle differences [8]. Research has repeatedly demonstrated that men are more likely than women to develop urological disorders such as nephrolithiasis, possibly as a result of consuming more protein and less water, both of which are factors in the development of stones. This discrepancy by sex also raises the possibility that renal calculus develops more frequently in men [10]. Urolithiasis has been associated with several clinical risk factors, including age over 60, male gender, diabetes, and insulin use. Higher body mass index, insulin resistance, and several additional specific dietary and urine components [11].

## Generalizability

The study's generalizability is limited due to its single-center design, small sample size, and predominance of male participants. While it offers useful insights, the findings may not be broadly applicable to all settings or patient populations. Larger, multicenter studies are needed to confirm these results and improve external validity.

## Conclusion

Urolithiasis is a more complex illness that is impacted by several metabolic and clinical risk factors. Men, especially those over 60, emphasize the significance systemic factors play in stone formation. Furthermore, lifestyle variables, including nutrition and obesity, increase the risk. For renal calculi to be effectively prevented, diagnosed early, and managed with precision, it is imperative to comprehend these relationships.



## Limitations

The study included many restrictions, like firstly, the results may not be as broadly applicable as they may be because of the limited sample size. Second, long-term changes in renal function could not be well captured by short-term postoperative biomarker tests. Long-term renal function follow-up and imaging-based functional outcomes were also not evaluated in this study.

## Recommendations

To validate these results, larger trials with longer follow-up are required. It is recommended to include more sensitive kidney biomarkers and standardize surgical factors.

## List of abbreviations

**PCNL-** Percutaneous nephrolithotomy  
**NGAL-** Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin  
**KIM-1-** Kidney injury molecule-1  
**IL-18-** Interleukin-18  
**RIRS-** Retrograde intrarenal surgery  
**NAG-** *N*-acetyl- $\beta$ -d-glucosaminidase  
**RI-** Resistive Index  
**AKI-** Acute Kidney Injury  
**USG-** Ultrasonography  
**SD-** Standard Deviation

## Acknowledgement

We sincerely acknowledge the support of the Department of Urology, the radiology and laboratory teams, and the nursing staff at Mahatma Gandhi Medical College and Research Institute. We also thank the patients for their participation and cooperation throughout the study.

## Source of funding

There was no external funding; the study was self-funded by the department.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Author contributions

All authors contributed to the study design, data collection, analysis, and manuscript preparation.

## Data availability

The data generated during this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

## References

1. Mykoniatis I, Sarafidis P, Memmos D, Anastasiadis A, Dimitriadis G, Hatzichristou D. Are endourological procedures for nephrolithiasis treatment associated with renal injury? A review of potential mechanisms and novel diagnostic indices. *Clinical Kidney Journal*. 2020 Aug;13(4):531-41. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ckj/sfaa020> PMID:32905259 PMCID:PMC7467591
2. Skolarikos A, Neisius HJ, Petrik A, Somani B, Tailly T, Gambaro G. Guidelines on urolithiasis. *European Association of Urology*. 2023.
3. De Sio M, Manfredi C, Fusco F, Creta M, Mirone V, Arcaniolo D. Recent advances in percutaneous lithotripsy techniques. *Current Opinion in Urology*. 2021 Jan 1;31(1):24-8. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MOU.0000000000000829> PMID:33196538
4. Lin X, Yuan J, Zhao Y, Zha Y. Urine interleukin-18 in prediction of acute kidney injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Nephrology*. 2015 Feb;28:7-16. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40620-014-0113-9> PMID:24899123 PMCID:PMC4322238
5. Daggülli M, Utangaç MM, Dede O, Bodakci MN, Hatipoglu NK, Penbegül N, Sancaktutar AA, Bozkurt Y, Söylemez H. Potential biomarkers for the early detection of acute kidney injury after percutaneous nephrolithotripsy. *Renal failure*. 2016 Jan 2;38(1):151-6. <https://doi.org/10.3109/0886022X.2015.1073494> PMID:26481764
6. Han WK, Waikar SS, Johnson A, Betensky RA, Dent CL, Devarajan P, Bonventre JV. Urinary biomarkers in the early diagnosis of acute kidney



**Student's Journal of Health Research Africa**

**e-ISSN: 2709-9997, p-ISSN: 3006-1059**

**Vol.6 No. 6 (2025): June 2025 Issue**

**<https://doi.org/10.51168/sjhrafrica.v6i6.1896>**

**Original Article**

Page | 6

- injury. *Kidney International*. 2008 Apr 1;73(7):863-9.  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ki.5002715>  
PMid:18059454 PMCID:PMC2586909
7. Bigé N, Lévy PP, Callard P, Faintuch JM, Chigot V, Jousselin V, Ronco P, Boffa JJ. Renal arterial resistive index is associated with severe histological changes and poor renal outcome during chronic kidney disease. *BMC Nephrology*. 2012 Dec;13:1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2369-13-139> PMid:23098365 PMCID:PMC3531254
8. Kumar S, Sandeep, Ganesamoni R, Mandal AK. Efficacy and outcome of percutaneous nephrolithotomy in patients with calculus nephropathy. *Urological research*. 2011 Apr;39:111-5. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00240-010-0307-0> PMid:20700586
9. Zhang T, He Y, Wang Y, Zhu Q, Yang J, Zhao X, Sun Y. The role of three-dimensional power Doppler ultrasound parameters measured on hCG day in the prediction of pregnancy during in vitro fertilization treatment. *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*. 2016 Aug 1;203:66-71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2016.05.016> PMid:27254812
10. Ferraro PM, Taylor EN, Curhan GC. Factors associated with sex differences in the risk of kidney stones. *Nephrology Dialysis Transplantation*. 2023 Jan;38(1):177-83. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ndt/gfac037> PMid:35138394 PMCID:PMC9869853
11. Wang Y, Zhu Y, Luo W, Long Q, Fu Y, Chen X. Analysis of components and related risk factors of urinary stones: a retrospective study of 1055 patients in southern China. *Scientific Reports*. 2024 Nov 16;14(1):28357. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-80147-1> PMid:39550454 PMCID:PMC11569250

#### **PUBLISHER DETAILS**

**Student's Journal of Health Research (SJHR)**

**(ISSN 2709-9997) Online**

**(ISSN 3006-1059) Print**

**Category: Non-Governmental & Non-profit Organization**

**Email: [studentsjournal2020@gmail.com](mailto:studentsjournal2020@gmail.com)**

**WhatsApp: +256 775 434 261**

**Location: Scholar's Summit Nakigalala, P. O. Box 701432,  
Entebbe Uganda, East Africa**

