Vol. 5 No. 3 (2024): March2024 Issue https://doi.org/10.51168/sjhrafrica.v5i3.1080

Original Article

TELEMEDICINE DISRUPTION: A COMPREHENSIVE NARRATIVE LITERATURE REVIEW ON PHYSICIANS' PERSPECTIVES.

Pramod Kumar MBBS, MD, Medical Officer, Sadar Hospital, Banka, Bihar, India.

Page | 1 ABSTRACT

Telemedicine, the delivery of healthcare services via telecommunications technology, has evolved significantly since the early 20th century. This evolution has been propelled by advancements in digital technology and heightened by the demands of modern healthcare challenges, including the COVID-19 pandemic. Telemedicine offers enhanced access to care, improved patient engagement, and potential cost savings, but it also introduces unique challenges and disruptions to traditional medical practice. This literature review aims to systematically examine and synthesize existing research on physicians' perspectives regarding the disruptions caused by telemedicine, identifying common themes, challenges, and opportunities that influence its integration into routine clinical practice. The review traces the evolution of telemedicine, highlighting key milestones from early radiologic image transmission to the integration of AI-driven tools. Theoretical frameworks like Innovation Diffusion Theory, Technology Acceptance Model, and Disruptive Innovation Theory are explored to understand telemedicine adoption among healthcare providers. The adoption by physicians is influenced by factors such as technological literacy and organizational support, with barriers like data security concerns. Various telemedicine models, including store-and-forward, real-time video consultations, and remote monitoring, are discussed in the context of services ranging from primary care to chronic disease management. Physicians' experiences with telemedicine reveal challenges in quality of care and technical issues, emphasizing the need for comprehensive training and education. The review highlights the necessity for ongoing Continuing Medical Education, certification, and licensure standardization in telemedicine. It suggests a future where telemedicine is seamlessly integrated into healthcare systems, with physicians adept in its use through tailored, hands-on training experiences. To optimize the use of telemedicine in clinical practice, policies must focus on standardizing training and licensure, ensuring data security, and addressing reimbursement issues. Emphasis should be placed on developing clinical guidelines that adapt to the evolving landscape of telemedicine.

Keywords: Telemedicine, Digital Health, Physician Training, Healthcare Innovation.

Submitted: 2024-03-11 Accepted: 2024-03-12

Corresponding Author: Pramod Kumar*

Email: pk9386@gmail.com

MBBS, MD, Medical Officer, Sadar Hospital, Banka, Bihar, India.

INTRODUCTION

Telemedicine, the remote delivery of healthcare services using telecommunications technology, has emerged as a pivotal innovation in modern healthcare. Its roots can be traced back to the early 20th century, but significant advancements in digital technology over the last few decades have dramatically expanded its scope and capabilities [1]. The integration of telemedicine into healthcare systems has been accelerated by factors such as the increasing demand for accessible healthcare, the need for cost-effective medical solutions, and more recently, the global COVID-19 pandemic, which necessitated a rapid shift towards remote healthcare delivery [2].

While telemedicine offers numerous benefits, including enhanced access to care, improved patient engagement, and potential cost savings, it also presents unique challenges and disruptions to traditional medical practice [3]. Understanding physicians' perspectives on these disruptions is crucial, as their acceptance and adaptation to telemedicine are key determinants of its successful implementation and sustainability. Physicians' experiences, concerns, and insights can provide valuable information on the practical aspects of telemedicine, its impact on patient care, and the necessary infrastructural and policy changes required to optimize its use.

The primary objective of this literature review is to systematically examine and synthesize existing research on physicians' perspectives regarding the disruptions caused by telemedicine. This review aims to identify common themes, challenges, and opportunities as perceived by physicians, and to understand how these factors influence the integration of telemedicine into routine clinical practice. The scope of this review encompasses studies published in the last decade, reflecting the rapid evolution of telemedicine technology and its increasing adoption in healthcare settings. By focusing on a comprehensive range of sources, including peer-reviewed journals, healthcare reports, and case studies, this review seeks to provide a holistic understanding of the telemedicine landscape from the viewpoint of those at the forefront of its implementation: the physicians themselves.

METHODOLOGY

The study design considered for the review was a comprehensive literature search strategy to identify relevant studies and articles. Databases such as PubMed, MEDLINE, EMBASE, and Google Scholar were systematically searched for literature published in the last decade. Keywords used in the search included

Student's Journal of Health Research Africa e-ISSN: 2709-9997, p-ISSN: 3006-1059

Vol. 5 No. 3 (2024): March2024 Issue https://doi.org/10.51168/sjhrafrica.v5i3.1080

Original Article

"telemedicine," "telehealth," "digital health," "physician perspectives," "healthcare technology," and "remote healthcare." Boolean operators (AND, OR) were used to combine search terms effectively. The review focused on literature published from 2010 to 2023. Studies were included if they were published in English, focused on telemedicine, and specifically addressed physicians' perspectives on telemedicine adoption, challenges, and impacts. Both qualitative and quantitative studies were considered. Exclusion criteria included articles not in English, studies not specifically related to telemedicine, and those not focusing on physicians' perspectives. Editorials, commentaries, and non-peer-reviewed articles were also excluded. Data from the selected studies were extracted and included information on the study's objectives, methodology, key findings, and conclusions. This data was then synthesized to identify common themes and patterns. The synthesis involved categorizing the data based on the aspects of telemedicine being addressed, such as adoption barriers, benefits, training needs, and technological challenges.

DISCUSSION EVOLUTION OF TELEMEDICINE

The concept of telemedicine dates back to the early 20th century, with one of the earliest instances being the transmission of radiologic images over telephone lines in the 1920s [4]. However, it was not until the 1960s, with the advent of more sophisticated telecommunications technology, that telemedicine began to take a more concrete form. The University of Nebraska was among the pioneers, of using interactive telecommunication to deliver medical education and consultations [5].

Significant milestones in the evolution of telemedicine include the introduction of video conferencing in the 1970s and the integration of the internet in the 1990s, which greatly expanded its capabilities and reach [6]. The 21st century has seen rapid advancements in digital technology, including mobile health applications, wearable devices, and AI-driven diagnostic tools, further revolutionizing the field of telemedicine [7].

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK FOR TELEMEDICINE DISRUPTION

Innovation Diffusion Theory, proposed by Rogers in 1962, provides a framework for understanding how new ideas and technologies spread within a society. This theory has been applied to telemedicine to explain the patterns of adoption among healthcare providers and patients [8]. Models such as the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT) have been instrumental in studying the factors that influence the adoption of telemedicine technologies. These models consider variables like perceived usefulness, ease of use, and social influence [9]. Christensen's Disruptive Innovation Theory provides insights into how telemedicine can disrupt traditional healthcare delivery models. It explains how simpler, more convenient, and often more affordable

technologies can displace established technologies and practices [10].

TELEMEDICINE ADOPTION BY PHYSICIANS

Factors influencing the adoption of telemedicine by physicians include technological literacy, perceived usefulness, compatibility with existing practices, and organizational support. Financial incentives and regulatory policies also play a crucial role. Barriers to adoption include concerns about data security, lack of technical support, reimbursement issues, and resistance to change from traditional face-to-face consultations. Facilitators include improved access to care for patients in remote areas, cost-effectiveness, and the flexibility offered by telemedicine solutions. Physician attitudes towards telemedicine are generally positive, especially regarding its potential to improve access to care and patient satisfaction. However, concerns remain about the quality of care, patient privacy, and the potential for reduced patient-physician interaction [11].

TELEMEDICINE MODELS AND SERVICES

The evolution of telemedicine has led to the development of various models, each catering to different aspects of healthcare delivery. The store-and-forward model is a prominent method where medical data such as images or biosignals are collected and transmitted to a healthcare provider for assessment. This approach is widely used in specialties like dermatology, radiology, and pathology, where physical presence is not a prerequisite for diagnosis [12]. Another significant model is real-time video consultations, which facilitate direct interaction between patients and healthcare providers through video conferencing. This model has gained immense popularity for primary care, mental health services, and follow-up visits, offering the convenience of immediate consultation [10]. Remote monitoring, on the other hand, enables healthcare providers to monitor patients' health data remotely, often through wearable devices or home monitoring equipment. This model is particularly beneficial for managing chronic diseases, allowing continuous observation and timely intervention.

SERVICES PROVIDED THROUGH TELEMEDICINE

Telemedicine has revolutionized the delivery of various healthcare services. In primary care, it includes virtual consultations, diagnosis, and prescription management, significantly extending healthcare access to remote or underserved areas [1]. The realm of specialty consultations has also been transformed by telemedicine, providing access to specialist care in fields like neurology, psychiatry, and oncology, where local specialist availability might be limited [3]. Chronic disease management is another area where telemedicine has made substantial contributions. By offering services like continuous monitoring, medication management, and patient education, telemedicine improves patient outcomes and reduces the need for hospitalizations,

Page | 2

Student's Journal of Health Research Africa e-ISSN: 2709-9997, p-ISSN: 3006-1059

Vol. 5 No. 3 (2024): March2024 Issue https://doi.org/10.51168/sjhrafrica.v5i3.1080

Original Article

thereby addressing one of the most pressing challenges of modern healthcare.

PHYSICIANS' EXPERIENCES AND CHALLENGES WITH DIFFERENT TELEMEDICINE MODELS AND SERVICES

Page | 3

The experiences of physicians with telemedicine have been diverse and multifaceted. Many healthcare providers appreciate the flexibility and extended reach that telemedicine offers. However, they also face challenges, including concerns about the quality of care, technical issues, and adapting to new models of patient interaction [11]. The store-and-forward model, while efficient in certain specialties, may lack the personal interaction of real-time consultations. Real-time video consultations, although convenient, are sometimes limited by the lack of physical examination capabilities and the need for reliable technology. Remote monitoring provides continuous data, but it also raises concerns about data overload and the complexities involved in data interpretation.

PHYSICIAN TRAINING AND EDUCATION IN TELEMEDICINE

The rapid expansion of telemedicine has necessitated the development of specialized training programs and resources for physicians. These programs are designed to equip healthcare providers with the necessary skills and knowledge to effectively use telemedicine technologies. Training typically covers technical aspects, patient communication strategies, and legal and ethical Institutions considerations. like the American Telemedicine Association (ATA) and various universities offer courses and workshops focused on telemedicine [1, 3]. Additionally, online resources and modules have become increasingly popular, providing flexible learning options for busy professionals.

Continuing Medical Education (CME) plays a crucial role in ensuring that physicians remain up-to-date with the latest advancements in telemedicine. Many medical boards and professional organizations now recognize telemedicine as a key area for CME. Physicians are encouraged to participate in telemedicine-focused CME activities to maintain their licensure and stay abreast of evolving best practices [3, 5].

Certification and licensure in telemedicine have become important topics as the field grows. Some regions and medical boards have started offering specific certifications in telemedicine, acknowledging its distinct skill set. Licensure, particularly in the United States, has been a complex issue due to varying state laws. However, there is a growing movement towards standardizing telemedicine licensure to facilitate cross-state practice, especially in response to the increased demand for telehealth services [12].

Physicians' feedback on the adequacy of telemedicine training has been mixed. While many appreciate the availability of training resources, some express concerns about the depth and practical applicability of the training. There is a call for more hands-on, experiential learning

opportunities and for training that is tailored to specific specialties and patient populations [11]. Additionally, physicians have emphasized the need for training that addresses the challenges of remote patient engagement and the nuances of virtual patient communication [13]. In summary, the narrative from the review article calls for a balanced approach to integrating telemedicine into healthcare, emphasizing the importance of physician training, policy support, and continuous research to address the challenges and leverage the opportunities presented by telemedicine. This approach aims to ensure that telemedicine serves as an effective complement to traditional healthcare practices, enhancing access to care, improving patient outcomes, and maintaining the quality of the physician-patient relationship.

CONCLUSION

The advent of telemedicine has revolutionized healthcare delivery, offering increased accessibility and efficiency, yet it also poses unique challenges that necessitate a reevaluation of traditional practices. For physicians, adapting to telemedicine requires not only technical proficiency but also an understanding of its various store-and-forward. models, such as consultations, and remote monitoring. The effectiveness of telemedicine hinges on comprehensive training and education, with a need for ongoing Continuing Medical Education (CME) to keep pace with rapid technological advancements. Furthermore, the evolving landscape of certification and licensure underscores the need for standardization to ensure consistent quality of care. Physicians' feedback indicates a demand for more practical, hands-on training experiences, tailored to specific specialties and patient needs. As telemedicine reshapes healthcare, it is imperative to equip physicians with the skills to navigate this new terrain, ensuring that telemedicine complements the human element of healthcare, rather than replacing it.

Source of funding

No funding was received.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

REFERENCES

- Smith AC, Thomas E, Snoswell CL, Haydon H, Mehrotra A, Clemensen J, Caffery LJ. Telehealth for global emergencies: Implications for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Journal of telemedicine and telecare. 2020 Jun;26(5):309-13.
- 2. Portnoy J, Waller M, Elliott T. Telemedicine in the era of COVID-19. The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology: In Practice. 2020 May 1;8(5):1489-91.
- Patel SY, Mehrotra A, Huskamp HA, Uscher-Pines L, Ganguli I, Barnett ML. Trends in

Student's Journal of Health Research Africa e-ISSN: 2709-9997, p-ISSN: 3006-1059

Vol. 5 No. 3 (2024): March2024 Issue https://doi.org/10.51168/sjhrafrica.v5i3.1080

Original Article

- outpatient care delivery and telemedicine during the COVID-19 pandemic in the US. JAMA Internal Medicine. 2021 Mar 1;181(3):388-91.
- 4. Tuckson RV, Edmunds M, Hodgkins ML. Telehealth. New England Journal of Medicine. 2017 Oct 19;377(16):1585-92.
- 5. Wootton R. Twenty years of telemedicine in chronic disease management—an evidence synthesis. Journal of telemedicine and telecare. 2012 Jun;18(4):211-20.
- Bashshur RL, Howell JD, Krupinski EA, Harms KM, Bashshur N, Doarn CR. The empirical foundations of telemedicine interventions in primary care. Telemedicine and e-Health. 2016 May 1;22(5):342-75.
- 7. Rogers EM, Singhal A, Quinlan MM. Diffusion of innovations. An integrated approach to communication theory and research 2014 Apr 8 (pp. 432-448). Routledge.
- 8. Venkatesh V, Morris MG, Davis GB, Davis FD. User acceptance of information technology:

- Toward a unified view. MIS quarterly. 2003 Sep 1:425-78.
- Christensen C, Raynor ME, McDonald R. Disruptive innovation. Brighton, MA, USA: Harvard Business Review; 2013 Jun.
- Greenhalgh T, Wherton J, Shaw S, Morrison C. Video consultations for covid-19. Bmj. 2020 Mar 12;368.
- Polinski JM, Barker T, Gagliano N, Sussman A, Brennan TA, Shrank WH. Patients' satisfaction with and preference for telehealth visits. Journal of General Internal Medicine. 2016 Mar;31:269-75.
- Majumder S, Deen MJ. Smartphone sensors for health monitoring and diagnosis. Sensors. 2019 May 9;19(9):2164.
- 13. Mehrotra A, Ray K, Brockmeyer DM, Barnett ML, Bender JA. Rapidly converting to "virtual practices": outpatient care in the era of Covid-19. NEJM catalyst innovations in care delivery. 2020 Apr 1;1(2).

Publisher details:

SJC PUBLISHERS COMPANY LIMITED



Category: Non-Government & Non-profit Organisation

Contact: +256775434261(WhatsApp)

Email: admin@sjpublisher.org, info@sjpublisher.org or studentsjournal2020@gmail.com

Website: https://sjpublisher.org

Location: Wisdom Centre Annex, P.O. BOX. 113407 Wakiso, Uganda, East Africa.

Page | 4